

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/747,370                  | 12/21/2000      | William J. LaBarge   | DP-303157 8629          |                  |
| 22851                       | 7590 03/16/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.   |                 |                      | TRAN, HIEN THI          |                  |
| M/C 480-410-<br>PO BOX 5052 |                 |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER   |                  |
| TROY, MI                    | 48007           |                      | 1764                    |                  |
|                             |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  | $\leftarrow$                              |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/747,370   | LABARGE ET AL.  |   |  |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |   |  |  |  |
|  | Hien Tran  | 1764  |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the o   | orrespondence add   | ress                                      |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF   | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | ALLOWANCE.  |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The a)</li> </ol>   | a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aban<br>Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR<br>ie reply must be filed within one of t                 | donment of this applic<br>which places the appl<br>41.31; or (3) a Reque                            | ication in<br>st for Continued            |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A   | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth   | in the final rejection, wh  | ichever is later. In                      |  |  |  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire l<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin   | g date of the final rejecti   | on.<br>II ED WITHIN                       |  |  |  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  | 06.07(f).  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.<br>dension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr<br>inally set in the final Offi   | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a     |  |  |  |
| 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(b)) has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS   | 1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o  | is of the date of filing  | the Notice of                             |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | but prior to the date of filing a brief  | , will <u>not</u> be entered b  | ecause                                    |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>   |  | ducing or simplifying   | the issues for                            |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally rej  | ected claims.   |   |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  |  | empliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).                               |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | llowable if submitted in a separate,   | timely filed amendme  | ent canceling the                         |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.   |  | II be entered and an e  | explanation of                            |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | ut before or on the date of filing a N<br>nd sufficient reasons why the affida   | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>vit or other evidence i   | ot be entered<br>s necessary and          |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>ry and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(  | ils to provide a<br>1).                   |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by of the same reason set forth in the final office action. No directed to alternate refractory materials for the carrier persuasive as the Deeba et al discloses that the carrier material, such as alumina, titania, zirconia, zirconium perfractory material in col. 8 is not for the substrate only.</li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ul> | ote that applicants argue that the di<br>which corresponds to the instant su<br>member may be coated with wash<br>hosphate, etc. (see, for example, co                 | sclosure at col. 8 in l<br>bstrate. Such content<br>coat which includes roal. 7, lines 3 to col. 8, | Deeba et al is<br>ion is not<br>efractory |  |  |  |
| — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | ·  | · - —   |   |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Hen Tran Hien Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1764